Archaeological Theory in the New Millennium
Introducing Current Perspectives

Oliver Harris and Craig Cipolla
CONTENTS

List of figures xi
List of boxes xii
List of tables xiii
Acknowledgements xiv

1 An introduction to contemporary archaeological theory: confronting dualisms 1
   Introduction 1
   Beyond paradigms 3
   Theory in the new millennium 4
   Imagining theory 6
   Theory and us 8
   Structure of the book 9

2 Beyond paradigms: a potted history of archaeological thought 13
   Introduction: understanding a pit with different theories 13
   Culture history 16
   Processual archaeology 19
   Postprocessual archaeology 22
   Tracing a history of dualisms 27
   The problem with dualisms 31
   Conclusions: the three professors 32

3 Between thoughts and things: theorising practice and agency 35
   Introduction: encountering the mystery object 35
   Theorising practice and agency, or what we do 38
   Taking influence from anthropology and sociology 39
Contents

Archaeologies of practice and agency 44
Reconsidering power, cultural interaction and history 46
Conclusions: some final thoughts on the mystery object 49

4 Situating things in society: identity and personhood 52
Introduction: who were they and who are we? 52
From practice to identity 54
Performing sex and gender 57
Performing personhood 61
Embodied identities 66
Conclusions: identity beyond our assumptions 67

5 Secret lives of things: object agency and biography 71
Introduction: a museum visit 71
Object agency 73
Enchanting the collector 77
Object biography 80
Tracking colonial ‘lives’ 81
Conclusions: back to the museum 85

6 Things make people?: considering materiality, phenomenology, experience and entanglement 87
Introduction: making the Amesbury Archer 87
What is materiality? 89
Dialectics and objectification 90
Phenomenology 95
Experiencing the world 101
Entanglements 104
Conclusions: person makes arrow, arrow makes person 106

7 Mediating the world: archaeological semiotics 109
Introduction: signs in a lonely forest 109
Two sides of Saussure 112
Three cheers for Peirce 116
Archaeological semiotics 120
Conclusions: back to the forest 126

8 Finding symmetry: Actor-Network-Theory and new materialism 129
Introduction: do guns kill people or do people kill people? 129
To modernity, and beyond! The challenge of Bruno Latour 131
Archaeology, the discipline of things 134
From symmetry to new materialism 138
New materialism in archaeology 141
Conclusions: beyond processual and postprocessual archaeologies? 146
9 Multi-species archaeology: people, plants and animals 152
   Introduction: archaeology beyond the human 152
   Archaeology, plants and animals 154
   Thinking about a multi-species world 156
   More-than-human archaeology 162
   Beyond history versus evolution? 165
   Biosemiotics 167
   Conclusions: back to domestication 168

10 ‘Others’: postcolonialism, the ontological turn and colonised things 171
   Introduction: from stones of Others to stones as Others 171
   Postcolonial theory: understanding and representing Others in a shared world 175
   New animism and Other worlds 180
   In defence of things 185
   Conclusions: different approaches to ‘Others’ 188

11 On breaking walls and building relations: a conclusion 193
   Introduction 193
   A new paradigm? 195
   A dialogue between authors 198
   Conclusions: together again 212

References 216
Index 235
FIGURES

1.1 Where are the boundaries between the body and the world around it? 7
2.1 Archaeological pits under excavation 13
2.2 Beaker pot 17
3.1 Contemplating the mystery object 36
3.2 Copper tinkler 36
3.3 Silbury Hill, Wiltshire, England 48
3.4 The West Kennet Avenue, Wiltshire, England 48
4.1 Encountering a burial 53
4.2 The site of Monte Albán, Oaxaca, Mexico 57
5.1 A museum visit 72
5.2 Kimberley points, glass, Australia 78
5.3 Map showing the Kimberley Region of Australia 79
5.4 Biface handaxe of chordate form 79
5.5 Photograph of the SBLACK bag 82
6.1 An archer without arrows 88
6.2 Pyramid of Khafre (Giza) behind the Great Sphinx 94
6.3 A bird’s-eye view of the Dorset Cursus 98
7.1 Contemplating our tree 110
7.2 Upper Palaeolithic flints 118
7.3 Diagram of the semiotic process 119
7.4 Map of the Black Sea region 120
7.5 Black Sea ceramics 121
7.6 Map of Southern Africa 123
8.1 Who is the guilty party? 130
8.2 The 1972 Olympic stadium in Munich, Germany 141
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Magdalenian contour découpés of a horse's head from Isturitz, France</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>A horse's hyoid bone</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Relationships with plants and animals</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>The mutually entangled character of social and plant (re)production</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>Stone socialising with trowel</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>Body-pots discussed by Benjamin Alberti</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>Building walls by accident</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1 Vere Gordon Childe
2.2 Lewis Binford
2.3 Ian Hodder
2.4 Janet D. Spector
2.5 René Descartes
3.1 Pierre Bourdieu
3.2 Anthony Giddens
4.1 Joan Gero
4.2 Judith Butler
4.3 Marilyn Strathern
5.1 Alfred Gell
5.2 Rosemary Joyce
6.1 Daniel Miller
6.2 Lynn Meskell
6.3 Martin Heidegger
6.4 Julian Thomas
6.5 Sarah Tarlow
7.1 Ferdinand de Saussure
7.2 Charles Sanders Peirce
7.3 Zoe Crossland
8.1 Bruno Latour
8.2 Christopher L. Witmore
8.3 Gilles Deleuze
8.4 Jane Bennett
8.5 Chantal Comneller
9.1 Tim Ingold

16
20
23
25
30
40
44
55
59
62
74
84
90
93
95
100
103
113
117
122
132
136
140
142
143
157
TABLES

1.1 Some dualisms that impede archaeological thought

7.1 Summary of terminology discussed in relation to
Sauvage and structuralism

7.2 Peirce’s triadic sign

7.3 Peirce’s sign modes

2.1 Pierre Bourdieu

2.2 Anthony Giddens

4.1 Mary Gage

4.2 Judith Butler

4.3 Marilyn Strathern

4.4 Alfred Gold

5.2 Rosemary Jenkins

5.3 Daniel Abbot

5.4 Eileen Dukess

5.5 Marina Hourglass

5.6 Jelly Tormes

6.3 Yuk Takagi

7.1 Ferdinand de Saussure

7.2 Charles Sanders Peirce

7.3 Zoe Connors

8.1 Bruce Larson

8.2 Christopher L. Winslow

8.3 Gilbert Derrida

8.4 Jane Bennett

8.5 Krzysztof Costelloe

8.6 Tim Ingold
Archaeological Theory in the New Millennium: Introducing Current Perspectives

Oliver J. T. Harris and Craig N. Cipolla. Routledge Press, London, 2017. xvi+238 pp., 32 figures, 32 boxes, references, index. $149.95 (Hardback), $44.95 (Paperback), $40.46 (eBook).

Reviewed by Timothy R. Pauketat, Illinois State Archaeological Survey and Department of Anthropology, University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign.

If you believe that theory is something you can ignore; if you believe that it is hopelessly relativist, post-processualist or, worse, post-modernist; if you seek a relatively short, refreshingly clear, and historically insightful overview of archaeological theory—and by that I mean *social theory*—since the 1980s; or if you teach a course on archaeological theory, then you need to read, and have your students read, this book. I say this because *Archaeological Theory in the New Millennium* is an engaging, concise, and forward-looking survey of theory in the field today, written by Oliver J. T. Harris and Craig N. Cipolla, that correctly targets the upcoming generation of archaeologists.

The book begins by recognizing that all archaeology is infused with theory, even if some of us might prefer to ignore that infusion. Note that the word—theory—is singular, not plural, because theory, for these authors, is not a static thing or a series of static things. Thus, the book is not about *a* theory of climate change, *a* theory of social organization, or *a* theory of urbanism. Technically, those are not theories anyway, but models or constructs. Moreover, the positions taken in this book are not entirely right, totally wrong, or mutually exclusive. Rather, theory is a malleable realm that shapes “the very kinds of questions we ask in the first place” (p. 2, emphasis original), and rethinking it should be happening continually, leading us to ask newer, better questions and to obtain more appropriate if not also more accurate answers about the past.

In its 11 chapters, this book provides us with a new jumping off point to rethink our questions, starting with a stage-setting introduction on theory. That introduction soft pedals a theme that runs throughout the book: dualistic thinking (structure v. agency, mind v. body, culture v. nature) is theoretically counter-productive. The book ends with a final chapter that both rejects the commonplace assertion that theory changes via Kuhnian paradigm shifts (way too homogenizing for our authors) and places us in the middle of a conversation between the authors, who do not, as it turns out, always agree. In between are nine well-written chapters on the recent history of theory. These draw on popular and contemporary cultural references that all students will understand, and each chapter also features two or more boxes that highlight an archaeologist or social theorist. It’s all very accessible.

Chapter 2 runs through the recent past’s theoretical approaches and their prominent advocates, Lewis Binford and Ian Hodder in particular, noting that both processual and post-processual camps were flawed in their dualistic—which is to say “representational”—thinking. In many ways, the reader senses, we are way past the stale arguments that pitted
behavior/environment against ideology/cultural meaning and so, appropriately, the authors don’t dwell on those old debates, but move quickly onto Chapter 3. That chapter throws us into the midst of the practice and agency theories of the 1990s, which morphed into discussions about identity and personhood in the early 2000s, the subject of Chapter 4, and then mutated into discussions of object agency and the biography of things, reviewed in Chapter 5.

Nowhere does heavy theoretical jargon bog down the reader, and nowhere do our authors simply reject alternative approaches out of hand. But Harris and Cipolla do critically evaluate these approaches, and point out the ways in which the various perspectives did not realize their potential, as with some phenomenological theorizing reviewed in Chapter 6. This chapter is almost—but not quite—the book’s climax, reviewing materiality, memory, and entanglement. That said, the next chapter is a bit anti-climatic, if not a drag, getting the reader stuck in the mud of semiotics. But the pace is picked up again with Chapter 8’s review of Actor-Network Theory and the New Materialisms, which is the real climax of the book. Here, the authors distinguish symmetrical archaeology, situated in a reading of Bruno Latour’s works, from approaches based in the thinking of Gilles Deleuze, Karen Barad, Manual DeLanda, and Jane Bennett. The implications of the latter are carried into the subsequent Chapter 9 on post-humanist and sensorial theorizing in archaeology. Specifically, this chapter elevates the roles of plants and animals in human history, and should be of interest to many archaeobotanical and zooarchaeological specialists, or potential specialists, in eastern North America. The penultimate Chapter 10, on post-colonialism, is an interesting mash-up of anthropological approaches that seek to “decolonize” our understanding of past people with those focused on animism, where the “others” encountered by people are other-than-human beings.

Anthropocentrism, dualistic thinking, and representationalism are the big losers in this book, as they should be. The big winners?—New Materialism, and if you aren’t sure what that is, or how different it is from older forms of materialism, then read this book. Harris and Cipolla might just change the way you think. That possibility alone amounts to high praise and sufficient reason to crack this book.